

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
<u></u>	10/087,146	03/01/2002	Sandra L. Gray	SP01-033B	4407	
	22928 7	7590 05/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
22,20		NCORPORATED		KUNEMUND, ROBER	, ROBERT M	
	SP-TI-3-1	NY 14831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CORNING,			1765		
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/087,146	GRAY ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M Kunemund	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
HE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. herefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in a possible or purposes. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
	be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☑ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: s	for reconsideration has been cor see note.	nsidered but does N	IOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		Y to issues which w	rere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims to	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)□ will be entereelow or appended.	d and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:			Λ			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			[]			
	B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		ROBERT KUNE PRIMARY EXA	MINER			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,146 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

Applicant's arguments filed May 5 and April 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The results shown in the response of May 5, 2004 has been considered and not deemed persuasive. The instant specification states that non-metallic crushing is one that does not increase metal, and reads on a wooden crusher. Also, there is nothing in the showing as to what was being used to crush beside one example. Therefore, the results are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument concerning the Oba reference is noted. However, the instant claims are open claims and can include the steps of the Oba et al reference.